

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/331,376		06/18/1999	OYSTEIN FODSTAD	7885.65USWO	1579
23552	7590	09/25/2002			
MERCHAI	VT & GC	OULD PC		EXAMI	NER
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				DAVIS, MINH TAM B	
MININEARC	JLIS, WIN	33402-0703			D. DED MUDADED
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1642	9.0
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002	22

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/331,376	FODSTAD ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MINH-TAM DAVIS	1642
The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication ap	pears n the cover sheet with the	corresp ndence address
Desi of for Penly		İ
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 July 2002 .	
This action is FINA 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocal closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	er Ex parte Quaylo, 1000 C.E.	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11 and 13-17</u> is/are pending	in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		`
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-11 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	:	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) he held in abevance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Applicant may not request that any objection to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	pproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None on	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in App	olication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	priority documents have been re al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies not re	ceived in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional application has bee	en received.
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	8) 5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/331,376

Art Unit: 1642

Hajek et al specifically teach that the rimming or clumpings occurs in the cell suspension when cells are positive for an antigen. Applicant further asserts that one would not have been motivated to analyze a suspension of Hajek et al, instead of a smear, because Hajek et al teach that the suspension clumps. In addition, Applicant asserts that there is no expectation of success because one would expect that such clumping would interfere with visualizing cells.

Applicant s arguments set forth in paper No.21 have been considered but are not deemed to be persuasive for the following reasons:

The submission of the Declaration by Dr. Fodstad is acknowledged.

Although flow cytometry could not be used with the claimed method, from the teaching of Fodstad et al it is clear that cell suspension could be used for visualizing cells under microscope. Further, Hajek et al teach that cell agglutinations are an advantage, for providing a fast screening procedure for high WBC counts under appropriate conditions (column 12, lines 37-49). Hajek et al further teach that these phenomena have been observed with WBC cells in the order of 40-50,000 cells or greater per microliter as constrasted to normal WBC cell range of 4-11,000 cells per microliter. Thus it seems that cell clumping only occurs at high concentration of cells. One of ordinary skill in the art therefore would have been motivated to use cell suspension in the method of Hajek et al, as taught by Fodstad et al and Hajek et al, with an expectation of success, because using cell suspension or smearing for cell visualization under microscope are methods that are interchangeable, give the same results, and well known in the art.

CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MINH-TAM DAVIS whose telephone number is 703-305-2008. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANTHONY CAPUTA can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/331,376

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0916.

MINH TAM DAVIS

September 12, 2002

Page 5



Creation date: 10-24-2003

Indexing Officer: ATEKLY - ALEM TEKLAY

Team: OIPEScanning Dossier: 09331376

Legal Date: 02-26-2003

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	CTNF	7

|--|--|

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on